

**Re Box No. V**

In the present opinion reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: XP002319329  
D2: XP002319330  
D3: XP002319331

- 1 Document D1 discloses as the closest prior art a method for selecting user data transmitted via at least one telecommunication network on account of initiation of a call between a calling subscriber and at least one called subscriber (see page 5, paragraphs 3-6).
- 2 With the method disclosed in document D1 the selection of user data of a called subscriber is carried out randomly and it is not possible to correlate the user data of a called subscriber with a response message ("SIP early dialog") sent by said called subscriber (see page 5, paragraph 6).
- 3 The subject matter of the independent claim 1 solves this problem
  - in that the calling subscriber uses the called subscriber reception address data contained in a response message of at least one called subscriber in order to select user data sent by the called subscriber together with a called subscriber transmission address,
  - the called subscriber reception address data of a called subscriber also representing called subscriber transmission address data of this called subscriber.
- 4 Neither document D1 nor the other documents D2 and D3 cited in the International Search Report disclose this feature for solving the above-mentioned problem. The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33 (2) PCT) and is based on inventive activity (Article 33 (3) PCT).

- 5 The independent device claim 11 contains all the features of the independent method claim 1. The subject matter of claim 11 is therefore likewise also novel (Article 33 (2) PCT) and is also therefore based on inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT).
- 6 Claims 2-11 are dependent on claim 1 and claims 2-15 are dependent on claim 11 and therefore likewise fulfill the requirements of the PCT with regard to novelty (Article 33 (2) PCT) and inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT).